

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,518	HEER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) San-ming Hui.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Ken Crimaldi, attorney of record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Crimaldi informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed August 18, 2005
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)